

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

Analysis of statistics 2020

January 2021

Table of Contents

Overview of the Court’s statistics in 2020	4
A. New applications	4
B. Applications disposed of judicially and pending applications	4
C. Processing of applications	4
1. Pre-allocation.....	4
2. Inadmissibility or strike-out decisions	4
3. Communicated applications	4
4. Judgments.....	5
5. Requests for interim measures (Rule 39 of the Rules of Court).....	5
D. Priority policy.....	5
E. Conclusion	5
General information	6
Number of applications allocated to a judicial formation.....	7
Processing of applications	9
Country-specific information	15
Albania	15
Andorra	16
Armenia	17
Austria.....	18
Azerbaijan	19
Belgium	20
Bosnia and Herzegovina.....	21
Bulgaria	22
Croatia.....	23
Cyprus	24
Czech Republic.....	25
Denmark	26
Estonia	27
Finland	28
France	29
Georgia.....	30
Germany	31
Greece.....	32
Hungary.....	33
Iceland.....	34
Ireland.....	35
Italy	36
Latvia.....	37
Liechtenstein.....	38
Lithuania	39
Luxembourg.....	40

Malta.....	41
Republic of Moldova.....	42
Monaco.....	43
Montenegro.....	44
Netherlands.....	45
North Macedonia.....	46
Norway.....	47
Poland.....	48
Portugal.....	49
Romania.....	50
Russian Federation.....	51
San Marino.....	52
Serbia.....	53
Slovakia.....	54
Slovenia.....	55
Spain.....	56
Sweden.....	57
Switzerland.....	58
Turkey.....	59
Ukraine.....	60
United Kingdom.....	61

Overview of the Court's statistics in 2020

The Court's statistics for 2020 disclose a decrease in the number of incoming applications allocated to a judicial formation. This can be explained principally by a decrease in the number of applications allocated coming from Russia, Bosnia and Herzegovina and Serbia.

In 2020, the productivity was lower than the number of allocated applications. As a result the number of pending applications has increased.

A. New applications

In 2020, 41,700 applications were allocated to a judicial formation, an overall decrease of 6% compared with 2019 (44,500). 26,800 of these were identified as single-judge cases likely to be declared inadmissible (a decrease of 10% in relation to 2019). Single-judge applications continue to be processed as soon as they are identified as qualifying for such a procedure. 14,900 applications were identified as probable Chamber or Committee cases (an increase of 1% compared with 2019).

B. Applications disposed of judicially and pending applications

39,190 applications were disposed of judicially, a decrease of 4% in relation to 2019 (40,667). The number of cases allocated exceeded those disposed of by a little more than 2,500. As a result, the stock of allocated applications pending before the Court increased over the year, from 59,800 to 62,000.

C. Processing of applications

1. Pre-allocation

As at 31 December 2020 the number of applications pending at the pre-judicial stage stood at 8,100, a decrease of 8% as compared to the same date the previous year (8,800). The number of applications disposed of administratively in 2020 was 14,150, a decrease of 31%. 52% of these files were disposed of for failure to comply with the requirements of Rule 47 of the Rules of Court (contents of an individual application).

2. Inadmissibility or strike-out decisions

In 2020, 37,289 applications were declared inadmissible or struck out of the list of cases by a single judge, a Committee or a Chamber, a 3% decrease compared with 2019 (38,480).

The single-judge formation decided 31,069 applications in 2020, 7% less than in 2019 (33,288). This might be seen against the decrease in the number of applications allocated to a single-judge formation in 2020.

In 2020, 1,777 applications were struck out by a Chamber or a Committee, in a decision following a friendly settlement or a unilateral declaration, a decrease of 44% (3,199 in 2019). Friendly settlements (1,375) decreased by 19% (1,688 in 2019) and unilateral declarations (402) decreased by 73% (1,511 in 2019). This decrease can be explained by the drop in numbers of such decisions against Italy (non-execution – follow-up *Pinto*), Hungary (civil length of proceedings) and Romania (conditions of detention). 4,443 applications were declared inadmissible by a Chamber or a Committee or struck out by these formations on other grounds.

3. Communicated applications

In 2020, 7,681 applications were communicated (an increase of 19% compared with 2019, when 6,442 applications were communicated).

4. Judgments

Judgments were delivered in respect of 1,901 applications (compared with 2,187 in 2019 – a decrease of 13%). A large proportion of these applications were joined, with the result that the number of judgments actually delivered was 871, a decrease of 1%. 480 judgments (in respect of 1,345 applications) were adopted by a three-judge Committee formation (-22% compared to 2019). Committee judgments accounted for 71% of the applications decided by a judgment (79% in 2019). Despite the pandemic, the Court managed to deliver more Chamber judgments in 2020 (+23% compared with 2019): in respect of 544 applications in 2020 and in respect of 442 applications in 2019.

5. Requests for interim measures (Rule 39 of the Rules of Court)

The total number of decisions on interim measures (2,024) increased by 29% compared with 2019 (1,570). The Court granted requests for interim measures in 253 cases (an increase of 74% over the total of 145 in 2019) and dismissed them in 712 cases (31% more compared with 544 in 2019). The remainder fell outside the scope of Rule 39 of the Rules of Court. 65% of the requests granted concerned issues other than expulsion or extradition.

D. Priority policy¹

The essence of this policy is to concentrate more resources on the most important cases, namely the cases falling within the top three categories (“priority applications”). On 31 December 2020 24,444 applications were pending in these categories (almost identical to the beginning of the year). Notwithstanding high numbers of incoming priority applications against Russia (concerning lawfulness of detention and conditions of detention), Turkey (concerning lawfulness of detention) and Romania (conditions of detention), the Court maintained the stable number of pending priority applications due to the exceptional productivity in these categories in 2020.

Indeed the number of priority applications dealt with at different stages of the procedure in 2020 increased by 47% as compared with 2019 (5,538 in 2019 and 8,119 in 2020). This can be explained by an increase in the number of applications processed against Russia and Romania concerning conditions of detention.

26% more priority applications were communicated to the respondent Governments in comparison with 2019 (3,138 in 2019 and 3,953 in 2020). The number of priority applications declared inadmissible or struck out of the list of cases by a Chamber or Committee increased by 111% (1,649 in 2019 and 3,487 in 2020). The number of priority applications struck out following a friendly settlement or a unilateral declaration decreased from 882 in 2019 to 738 in 2020. The number of priority applications giving rise to a judgment decreased by 10% in 2020 (751 in 2019 and 679 in 2020).

E. Conclusion

In 2020, the Court continued to see an influx of priority applications as well as a substantial increase in incoming applications requiring examination by a Chamber (42% increase in applications allocated to a Chamber in 2020). The challenge of reducing the backlog of non-repetitive Chamber cases and priority cases remains. In this context the Court and its Registry recently adopted a new strategy for more targeted and effective case-processing in order to ensure that both priority and “impact” cases (i.e. non-priority Chamber cases which address core issues of relevance for the State in question and/or for the Convention system generally) are processed and adjudicated more expeditiously. This strategy is aimed at enhancing the Court’s immediate impact and relevance for the applicants and in the member States and its ability to address core legal issues of relevance for the Convention system as a whole.

¹ An explanation of the Court’s priority policy can be found on the Court’s internet site http://www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Priority_policy_ENG.pdf

General information

Table 1 General statistical information

1. Applications allocated to a judicial formation [round figures (50)]	2020	2019	+/-
Applications allocated	41700	44500	-6%

2. Interim procedural events	2020	2019	+/-
Applications communicated to respondent Government	7681	6442	19%

3. Applications decided	2020	2019	+/-
By decision or judgment	39190	40667	-4%
- by judgment delivered	1901	2187	-13%
- by decision (inadmissible or struck out)	37289	38480	-3%

4. Pending applications [round figures (50)]	31/12/2020	1/1/2020	+/-
Applications pending before a judicial formation	62000	59800	4%
- Chamber and Grand Chamber	23300	20050	16%
- Committee	34100	34600	-1%
- Single-Judge formation	4600	5150	-11%

5. Pre-judicial applications [round figures (50)]	31/12/2020	1/1/2020	+/-
Applications at a pre-judicial stage	8100	8800	-8%
	2020	2019	+/-
Applications disposed of administratively	14150	20450	-31%

Number of applications allocated to a judicial formation²

Chart 1 Applications allocated to a judicial formation per year





Chart 2 Applications pending before a judicial formation



² Figures represent the total number of applications, including joined applications. The document generally gives round figures.

Chart 3 High case-count States






Chart 4 The Court’s total caseload by stage of proceedings and judicial formation³



³ The “Pending Government action” category was created to monitor applications following a judgment applying the pilot procedure.

Chart 5 The Court’s total caseload by category



Processing of applications

Chart 6 Major procedural steps in processing of applications




Chart 7 Number of judgments since 2010




Chart 8 Applications in categories I, II and III processed from 2017 to 2020




Chart 9 Applications disposed of judicially in 2020




Chart 10 Evolution of the friendly settlements and unilateral declarations since 2010




Table 2 Applications allocated per Contracting State and population

State	Applications allocated to a judicial formation				Population (1 000)				Allocated/population (10 000)			
	2017	2018	2019	2020	1.1.2017	1.1.2018	1.1.2019	1.1.2020	2017	2018	2019	2020
Albania	95	99	88	76	2886	2870	2862	2846	0.33	0.34	0.31	0.27
Andorra	2	3	6	11	73	75	76	77	0.27	0.40	0.79	1.43
Armenia	356	167	148	213	2986	2973	2965	2960	1.19	0.56	0.50	0.72
Austria	228	239	199	215	8773	8822	8859	8901	0.26	0.27	0.22	0.24
Azerbaijan	679	313	397	525	9810	9898	9981	10067	0.69	0.32	0.40	0.52
Belgium	153	177	139	124	11366	11399	11455	11550	0.13	0.16	0.12	0.11
Bosnia and Herzegovina	866	898	1784	870	3510	3502	3492	3492	2.47	2.56	5.11	2.49
Bulgaria	582	774	750	608	7102	7050	7000	6951	0.82	1.10	1.07	0.87
Croatia	723	669	712	615	4154	4105	4076	4058	1.74	1.63	1.75	1.52
Cyprus	31	52	45	55	855	864	876	888	0.36	0.60	0.51	0.62
Czech Republic	385	349	300	381	10579	10610	10650	10694	0.36	0.33	0.28	0.36
Denmark	58	37	59	63	5749	5781	5806	5823	0.10	0.06	0.10	0.11
Estonia	156	132	121	128	1316	1319	1325	1329	1.19	1.00	0.91	0.96
Finland	181	174	131	120	5503	5513	5518	5525	0.33	0.32	0.24	0.22
France	887	871	693	638	67024	66926	67013	67099	0.13	0.13	0.10	0.10
Georgia	89	99	131	130	3718	3730	3723	3717	0.24	0.27	0.35	0.35
Germany	586	489	584	569	82800	82792	83019	83167	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.07
Greece	422	420	344	658	10757	10741	10724	10710	0.39	0.39	0.32	0.61
Hungary	1952	902	950	1036	9798	9778	9773	9769	1.99	0.92	0.97	1.06
Iceland	27	24	40	28	338	348	357	364	0.80	0.69	1.12	0.77
Ireland	54	30	37	42	4775	4830	4904	4964	0.11	0.06	0.08	0.08
Italy	1374	1692	1454	1497	60589	60484	60360	60245	0.23	0.28	0.24	0.25
Latvia	275	259	233	414	1950	1934	1920	1908	1.41	1.34	1.21	2.17
Liechtenstein	9	9	6	9	38	38	38	39	2.37	2.37	1.58	2.31
Lithuania	401	438	396	398	2848	2809	2794	2794	1.41	1.56	1.42	1.42
Luxembourg	38	35	23	29	591	602	614	626	0.64	0.58	0.37	0.46
Malta	22	30	35	40	440	476	494	514	0.50	0.63	0.71	0.78
Republic of Moldova	758	814	635	523	3553	3547	3547	3547	2.13	2.29	1.79	1.47
Monaco	7	5	8	3	38	38	38	38	1.84	1.32	2.11	0.79
Montenegro	138	318	427	218	622	622	622	622	2.22	5.11	6.86	3.50
Netherlands	532	429	400	386	17082	17181	17282	17407	0.31	0.25	0.23	0.22
North Macedonia	345	305	262	275	2074	2075	2077	2076	1.66	1.47	1.26	1.32
Norway	123	84	102	99	5258	5296	5328	5367	0.23	0.16	0.19	0.18
Poland	2066	1941	1834	1628	37973	37977	37973	37958	0.54	0.51	0.48	0.43
Portugal	197	149	188	261	10310	10291	10277	10296	0.19	0.14	0.18	0.25
Romania	6509	3369	2656	2994	19638	19531	19414	19318	3.31	1.72	1.37	1.55
Russia	7957	12148	12782	8923	143667	143667	143667	143667	0.55	0.85	0.89	0.62
San Marino	11	4	10	6	33	34	35	35	3.33	1.18	2.86	1.71
Serbia	1431	2128	2160	1836	7040	7001	6964	6927	2.03	3.04	3.10	2.65
Slovakia	425	390	300	289	5435	5443	5450	5458	0.78	0.72	0.55	0.53
Slovenia	374	274	210	180	2066	2067	2081	2096	1.81	1.33	1.01	0.86
Spain	669	592	606	440	46529	46659	46937	47330	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.09
Sweden	150	194	209	174	9995	10120	10230	10327	0.15	0.19	0.20	0.17
Switzerland	266	272	279	278	8418	8484	8544	8606	0.32	0.32	0.33	0.32
Turkey	25978	6717	7274	9104	79815	80810	82004	83155	3.25	0.83	0.89	1.09
Ukraine	4387	3207	3991	4271	45246	45246	45246	45246	0.97	0.71	0.88	0.94
United Kingdom	415	354	344	301	65809	66274	66647	67025	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.04
Total	63369	43075	44482	41681	830929	832632	835037	837578	0.76	0.52	0.53	0.50

SOURCES 2018 and 2020: Internet sites of United Nations Statistics Division and Eurostat service ("Population and social conditions")

The Council of Europe member States had a combined population of more than 837 million inhabitants on 1 January 2020. The average number of applications allocated per 10,000 inhabitants was 0.50 in 2020.

Table 3 The Court’s total caseload by stage of proceedings and judicial formation

State	Single Judge and Committee (cat. VI-VII)	Grand Chamber, Chamber and Committee - awaiting first examination (cat. I-V)	Communicated	Pending Government action	Admissible	TOTAL
Albania	16	419	128	0	0	563
Andorra	0	1	0	0	0	1
Armenia	77	1152	171	0	7	1407
Austria	29	26	28	0	1	84
Azerbaijan	1	1418	618	0	3	2040
Belgium	2	151	99	0	5	257
Bosnia and Herzegovina	238	68	97	0	0	403
Bulgaria	35	309	143	0	11	498
Croatia	69	128	215	0	13	425
Cyprus	6	45	16	0	0	67
Czech Republic	36	58	60	0	0	154
Denmark	1	19	5	0	5	30
Estonia	14	8	15	0	1	38
Finland	22	4	8	0	1	35
France	224	248	132	0	6	610
Georgia	14	414	99	0	5	532
Germany	96	47	39	0	6	188
Greece	9	702	314	0	10	1035
Hungary	43	222	239	0	12	516
Iceland	7	16	42	0	0	65
Ireland	8	7	9	0	0	24
Italy	139	2493	830	0	7	3469
Latvia	64	275	48	0	1	388
Liechtenstein	0	1	1	0	0	2
Lithuania	110	30	44	0	2	186
Luxembourg	3	14	7	0	0	24
Malta	0	28	23	0	2	53
Republic of Moldova	48	732	250	6	18	1054
Monaco	2	7	1	0	0	10
Montenegro	7	16	14	0	0	37
Netherlands	80	62	45	0	1	188
North Macedonia	14	98	206	0	2	320
Norway	5	10	35	0	3	53
Poland	89	638	426	0	3	1156
Portugal	21	216	80	0	2	319
Romania	150	1128	2266	3958	59	7561
Russia	438	7367	5745	1	94	13645
San Marino	0	9	1	0	1	11
Serbia	397	985	358	0	15	1755
Slovakia	32	16	102	0	2	152
Slovenia	25	21	23	0	0	69
Spain	47	12	59	0	4	122
Sweden	22	8	12	0	1	43
Switzerland	31	39	47	0	12	129
Turkey	1669	7920	2133	0	28	11750
Ukraine	205	8964	1188	0	51	10408
United Kingdom	44	38	38	0	4	124
ALL STATES	4589	36589	16459	3965	398	62000


Table 4 Unilateral declarations and friendly settlements from 2018 to 2020

State	Unilateral declaration			Friendly settlement		
	2018	2019	2020	2018	2019	2020
Albania					1	
Andorra						
Armenia	1	1	11		1	13
Austria				3	2	2
Azerbaijan	1	4	27		6	23
Belgium	9		2	2	17	8
Bosnia and Herzegovina			1	6	3	4
Bulgaria	2			4	8	1
Croatia			2	6	5	14
Cyprus	1		1			2
Czech Republic			1	1		2
Denmark						
Estonia			3			8
Finland					1	
France	2	3	3	2	3	3
Georgia	5			1	1	1
Germany	4	4	1		4	1
Greece	26	11	8	27	60	53
Hungary	10	33	11	456	429	263
Iceland						6
Ireland						
Italy	273	1016	1	243	298	15
Latvia			1			
Liechtenstein						
Lithuania	4	2		21	5	1
Luxembourg		1				
Malta	1	3	1		6	
Republic of Moldova	4	7	6	11	2	13
Monaco						
Montenegro	2	1		1		1
Netherlands	2			2		
North Macedonia	2	5		10	1	22
Norway						
Poland	123	16	22	279	31	17
Portugal		1	4	13	7	16
Romania	141	80	24	691	123	69
Russia	98	189	214	151	430	509
San Marino						1
Serbia	2	7	1	33	103	113
Slovakia	3	5	1	15	16	12
Slovenia	1				1	
Spain						
Sweden						
Switzerland					1	
Turkey	146	122	56	205	120	167
Ukraine	1					14
United Kingdom	1			2	3	1
Grand Total	865	1511	402	2185	1688	1375


Country-specific information

Albania

Chart 11 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 12 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Andorra

Chart 13 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 14 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Armenia

Chart 15 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 16 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Austria

Chart 17 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 18 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Azerbaijan

Chart 19 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 20 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Belgium

Chart 21 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 22 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Bosnia and Herzegovina

Chart 23 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 24 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Bulgaria

Chart 25 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 26 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Croatia

Chart 27 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 28 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Cyprus

Chart 29 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 30 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Czech Republic

Chart 31 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 32 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Denmark

Chart 33 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 34 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Estonia

Chart 35 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 36 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Finland

Chart 37 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 38 Major procedural steps in processing applications




France

Chart 39 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 40 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Georgia

Chart 41 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 42 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Germany

Chart 43 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 44 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Greece

Chart 45 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 46 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Hungary

Chart 47 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 48 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Iceland

Chart 49 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 50 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Ireland

Chart 51 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 52 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Italy

Chart 53 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 54 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Latvia

Chart 55 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 56 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Liechtenstein

Chart 57 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 58 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Lithuania

Chart 59 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 60 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Luxembourg

Chart 61 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 62 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Malta

Chart 63 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 64 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Republic of Moldova

Chart 65 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 66 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Monaco

Chart 67 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 68 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Montenegro

Chart 69 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 70 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Netherlands

Chart 71 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 72 Major procedural steps in processing applications




North Macedonia

Chart 73 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 74 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Norway

Chart 75 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 76 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Poland

Chart 77 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 78 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Portugal

Chart 79 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 80 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Romania

Chart 81 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 82 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Russian Federation

Chart 83 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 84 Major procedural steps in processing applications




San Marino

Chart 85 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 86 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Serbia

Chart 87 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 88 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Slovakia

Chart 89 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 90 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Slovenia

Chart 91 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 92 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Spain

Chart 93 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 94 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Sweden

Chart 95 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 96 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Switzerland

Chart 97 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 98 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Turkey

Chart 99 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 100 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Ukraine

Chart 101 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 102 Major procedural steps in processing applications



United Kingdom

Chart 103 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body



Graph 104 Major procedural steps in processing applications

